

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A002400060015-4

# CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

DATE

29 July 1969

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

Final

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

Declass Review by  
NIMA/DOD

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Film Processor

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 100%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 100%

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

## INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ AWARD FEE

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

## OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ AVERAGE

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES	X		MANUALS	X	
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS	X		FINAL REPORT	X	
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		X	SPECIAL TOOLING		X
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		X

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A002400060015-4

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A002400060015-4

☐ INT

☒ FINAL

25X1 The [redacted] Processor, operational manuals and final report were  
25X1 received [redacted] on 14 May 1969. This completes the deliverable  
items specified under the contract.

25X1 For the past year, Contractor performance has been shown as minimum  
acceptable or unsatisfactory. This was due to a number of reasons, mainly  
that the Contractor failed to meet the operating specifications as stated  
in the contract and his failure to submit progress and financial reports  
[redacted] It is also felt that the contract could have been  
managed better [redacted] which would have resulted in an  
earlier delivery date. The overall contract performance is considered  
unsatisfactory.

As stated in the previous progress report, so many deficiencies were  
encountered during the second pre-acceptance test program that it was  
decided to go no further with the development as expenditure of any additional  
time or money on the present design would be wasted.

25X1 I certify that all deliverable contractual items have been received:  
25X1 One (1) each, prototype [redacted] wide film processor  
Phase I - five (5) copies each, final report  
Phase II - five (5) copies each, final report

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A002400060015-4

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

ILLEGIB

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

ILLEGIB